



Prophetic Witness without Capture: Ethical Leadership, Political Proximity, and Ecclesial Integrity in African Christianity

Muwanguzi Kironde^{1*}, Dr. David Musooli², Aguta Richard Drabe³ and Dr. Vincent Byamukama⁴

^{1,2,3,4}Africa Renewal University

ARTICLE INFO	ABSTRACT
Published Online: 06 October 2026	Church leaders in African communities wield influence that extends beyond church activities, serving as moral interpreters, civic participants, mediators, educators, and public advocates. This vocation gets ethically complex when religious leaders cultivate intimate connections with political figures. Political proximity can enable dialogue and peacebuilding, but it can also produce patronage dependence, selective silence, partisan identification, or fear-based accommodation. This conceptual paper examines the boundary between constructive engagement and political compromise in African church leadership. It integrates ethical leadership theory, virtue-centric reasoning, African communal ethics, and biblical prophetic theology, referencing established church-state interactions in South Africa, Kenya, Zimbabwe, and broader African political theology. It contends that the credibility of a prophet relies not solely on political detachment but also on ethical independence inside relationships: proximity becomes compromising when it alters the substance, coherence, or beneficiaries of moral judgement. As an original contribution, the paper proposes a Relational Prophetic Integrity framework using five tests: material independence, consistency of moral criteria, protected deliberative autonomy, solidarity with vulnerable communities, and transparent accountability. The framework distinguishes accountable engagement from captured witness and offers a contextually grounded approach to faith-based leadership where communal obligations and political power intersect. African Christian leadership, the paper concludes, requires disciplined proximity governed by justice, truth, humility, and institutional safeguards rather than partisan withdrawal or permanent confrontation.
Corresponding Author: Muwanguzi Kironde	
KEYWORDS: ethical leadership, prophetic witness, African Christianity, political proximity, Ubuntu, church-state relations	

I. INTRODUCTION

Across many African societies, churches are not confined to private spirituality. They manage educational institutions and healthcare facilities, facilitate conflict resolution, mould ethical discussions, galvanise communities, offer social services, and occasionally affect political legitimacy. African Christianity exhibits significant internal diversity, incorporating Catholic, Anglican, Reformed, evangelical, Pentecostal, African Instituted, and ecumenical traditions, each with distinct historical contexts and state affiliations (Bediako, 1995; Gifford, 1998; Kalu, 2008). This diversity makes sweeping claims about “the African church” inappropriate. Nevertheless, a recurring leadership problem appears across contexts: how should church leaders engage political authority without allowing access, patronage, fear, or partisan loyalty to erode their moral independence?

The concern is not with the appropriateness of religious leaders addressing political matters. Public theology posits that faith necessarily engages with societal issues such as justice, dignity, violence, poverty, corruption, reconciliation, and governance. Nyiauwung (2010) argues that prophetic witness is an appropriate mode of public discourse precisely because African churches inhabit societies in which political and social crises raise moral questions that cannot be reduced to private belief. Gifford (2009) likewise demonstrates that Christianity in Kenya has been interwoven with political and civic affairs, encompassing both resistance to authoritarianism and involvement in development initiatives. The ethical problem arises when political proximity begins to reshape the standards by which church leaders judge public conduct.

This paper examines political compromise as a problem of ethical leadership rather than merely a problem of church-

state relations. Ethical leadership study underscores that leadership is assessed not solely by results or impact, but also by the moral quality of conduct, decision-making, communication, and the use of authority (Brown et al., 2005; Ciulla, 2014). In faith-based settings, those expectations are intensified because leaders claim moral and theological authority. A church leader who condemns injustice when committed by opponents but excuses comparable conduct by allies does more than make a strategic error; such inconsistency damages the credibility of the moral office itself. Likewise, silence may sometimes reflect prudence, concern for peace, or pastoral responsibility, but it may also reveal dependency, intimidation, or institutional self-preservation.

The central argument advanced here is that prophetic integrity should not be measured by political distance alone. Political proximity can be ethically justifiable and at times essential for mediation, lobbying, and collaborative institutional efforts. The critical question is whether leaders maintain ethical independence within that closeness. Political engagement becomes compromise when relationships with power alter the content, consistency, or beneficiaries of moral judgment. This distinction prevents reliance on two flawed models: an isolationist model that views detachment from governance as inherently virtuous, and a court-chaplain model in which access to power becomes more important than truthfulness, justice, and accountability.

The paper develops this argument through a conceptual and interpretive synthesis of ethical leadership scholarship, African moral philosophy, African Christian political theology, and documented church-state examples. It initially examines literature on ethical leadership, African Christianity, prophetic witness, and communitarian ethics. It subsequently integrates ethical leadership theory, virtue-centred moral reasoning, African relational ethics, and biblical prophetic theology. The analysis applies these lenses to patterns identified in South Africa, Kenya, Zimbabwe, and wider African scholarship before proposing a Relational Prophetic Integrity framework. The aim is not to rank denominations or political actors, nor to claim that all African contexts share the same dynamics. Instead, it aims to provide a theoretically grounded instrument for evaluating when relational political engagement is ethically accountable and when it devolves into moral capture.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

A. *Ethical Leadership and Moral Credibility*

Modern ethical leadership scholarship developed partly from concern that effective influence is not necessarily morally good influence. Treviño et al. (2000) distinguish the “moral person” from the “moral manager”: leaders must possess personal integrity alongside observable actions that convey, exemplify, and reinforce ethical expectations. Brown et al. (2005) further define ethical leadership as

behaviour that is normatively suitable, exhibited through individual behaviours and interpersonal connections, and fostered by communication, reinforcement, and decision-making. Their social-learning account is important because followers infer acceptable conduct from what leaders model and reward. Brown and Treviño (2006) additionally demonstrate that ethical leadership overlaps with, but cannot be entirely equated to, authentic, spiritual, or transformational leadership.

This literature is highly relevant to ecclesiastical leadership. A bishop, pastor, apostle, or denominational president acts not only as an individual moral agent but also as a public teacher whose behaviour can normalise particular relationships to money, status, and political authority. Bedi et al. (2016) discovered that ethical leadership correlates positively with views of fairness and ethical conduct among followers. Yukl et al. (2013) likewise underscore integrity, equity, empathy, and the ethical use of power as core dimensions of leader behaviour. For church leaders, public consistency is not merely superficial. It is pedagogical: followers learn what the community considers tolerable by observing what leaders challenge, excuse, celebrate, or ignore.

However, mainstream ethical leadership scholarship has limitations in African faith-based settings. A significant portion originated from organisational psychology and corporate studies, frequently presuming traditional leader-follower frameworks and individualised decision-makers. Eisenbeiss (2012) criticises the field’s Western orientation and calls for more explicit normative foundations, identifying humane, justice, responsibility, and moderation orientations. Maak and Pless (2006) likewise argue for a relational conception of responsible leadership across multiple stakeholders. These advancements create opportunities for African communal viewpoints, wherein leadership is perceived through networks of kinship, community, memory, duty, and social belonging rather than as a solitary individual decision.

B. *African Christianity, Civic Engagement, and Political Authority*

African Christianity has historically engaged in civic affairs. Bediako (1995) demonstrates that the proliferation of Christianity in Africa cannot be perceived just as a continuation of Western missionary efforts; African Christians have assimilated the faith into their indigenous languages, historical contexts, and ethical frameworks. Gifford (1998) highlights the public role of churches in civil society and politics, while Kalu (2008) demonstrates that African Pentecostalism is also embedded in political culture, media, and changing forms of public authority. Marshall’s (2009) study of Nigerian Pentecostalism further illustrates how spiritual transformation and political imagination may become deeply intertwined.

The societal function of Christianity is thus morally ambiguous. Religious institutions can safeguard human dignity, confront oppression, facilitate dispute resolution, and promote societal restoration. They might also reflect hierarchical political traditions, individualise authority, or sanctify current power. Katongole (2011) contends that African Christian political ethics should not merely be seen as improved methods for current institutions; rather, Christian communities need to foster alternative social visions that might counter harmful political discourses. Mugambi's (1995) reconstruction paradigm likewise advances African theology from mere liberation from subjugation to the reconstruction of institutions and social connections. These approaches imply that prophetic leadership is not only denunciatory speech. It encompasses the proactive establishment of communities adept at implementing justice, accountability, reconciliation, and civic duty.

C. Prophetic Witness and the Problem of Silence

Prophetic witness in African public theology refers to truthful, morally grounded engagement with public wrongdoing and social suffering. Nyiawung (2010) frames prophetic witness as a form of public discourse that addresses the realities of African democratic societies. Brueggemann (2018) characterises prophetic imagination as the ability to critique prevailing structures while invigorating a contrasting ethical perspective. This combination is important: prophetic leadership is not identical with political hostility. Its purpose is not opposition for its own sake but fidelity to moral commitments that may place religious leaders in tension with power.

The South African experience exemplifies the resilience and vulnerability of such testimony. Kgatle (2018) argues that the South African Council of Churches, whose ecumenical voice was prominent during apartheid, became comparatively weaker after 1994. He associates this weakening with new relationships to the democratic state, institutional absorption, and generational transition. The case shows that a church can lose critical distance not only under repression but also through successful political transition and greater access. The ethical danger is subtle: once former opponents become allies, moral scrutiny can become selective.

Zimbabwean scholarship presents a related but distinct pattern. Musoni (2021) analyses a Pentecostal movement's response to party politics and argues that spiritualised political theology can encourage a middle position that avoids direct confrontation. In contrast, Iheanacho (2022) explores the prophetic involvement of the Catholic Church, highlighting a pastoral letter from Zimbabwean bishops that condemned corruption and persecution. These instances warn against broad generalisations regarding denominations.

Within the same national setting, theological language can both restrain public critique and resource it.

D. African Communitarian Ethics: Resource and Risk

African moral philosophy offers a crucial counterbalance to leadership ideologies centred on independent individuals. Mbiti (1969) famously emphasised relational personhood, and Magesa (1997) interprets African moral traditions in relation to abundant life, community, and social harmony. Bujo (2001) develops an African Christian ethic in which moral deliberation is dialogical, communal, and historically embedded. Gyekye (1997), however, rejects an extreme communitarianism that subordinates the individual entirely to the community. His moderate communitarianism protects both communal responsibility and individual moral agency.

This distinction matters for church leadership. Values such as respect for elders, loyalty, consensus, solidarity, and harmony can support peace and accountable community. However, these identical virtues may be perverted when respect transforms into unexamined submission, loyalty devolves into favouritism, or harmony becomes silence. Metz (2007, 2011) offers a normative reconstruction of Ubuntu around identification with others and solidarity, linking communal relationship to human dignity rather than mere conformity. Shutte (2001) similarly presents Ubuntu as an ethic of shared humanity. Communitarian ethics does not necessitate the safeguarding of influential relationships to the detriment of the marginalised; when properly interpreted, relational morality can advocate for opposition to relationships that undermine dignity.

African women's theology deepens this critique. Oduyoye (2001) demonstrates that appeals to culture, family, or community can obscure unequal burdens and silenced voices, especially those of women. In church-state ethics, this insight prevents “community” from becoming shorthand for elite consensus among male religious and political leaders. The assessment of prophetic credibility should consider whose suffering is acknowledged, whose perspectives are included in discussions, and whose interests are safeguarded.

The resulting gap in the literature is conceptual. Existing studies richly describe ethical leadership, African communitarian values, public theology, and church-state relationships, but they do not adequately distinguish political proximity from political capture. A church leader may be close to government yet ethically independent, or distant from government yet silent, sectarian, or self-protective. A more precise framework must therefore evaluate the quality of moral agency within relationships, not merely the presence or absence of relationships.

III. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

A. *Ethical Leadership as Social and Moral Practice*

The first perspective is ethical leadership theory. Brown et al. (2005) emphasise normatively appropriate conduct and the active communication of ethical standards. Treviño et al. (2000) add that leaders must be visible both as moral persons and moral managers. Applied to church-state relations, this lens asks whether ecclesiastical leaders model consistency, transparency, fairness, and responsible use of influence. It also directs attention to what leaders teach followers through selective speech. If public criticism depends on partisan identity rather than moral principle, ethical leadership is weakened even where private character remains respectable.

Yet behavioural ethical leadership theory does not fully answer which moral norms should govern prophetic action. Ciulla (2014) argues that ethics is constitutive of leadership rather than an optional addition to effective influence. This paper consequently enhances behavioural theory through virtue-centric reasoning. Prophetic leadership necessitates consistent character traits including bravery, fairness, moderation, modesty, integrity, and pragmatic insight. Bravery devoid of caution may lead to impulsive conflict; caution lacking bravery may result in justified silence. Virtue ethics is especially useful because political compromise often occurs incrementally through repeated habits of accommodation rather than one dramatic immoral decision.

B. *African Relational and Communitarian Ethics*

The second perspective is African communitarian ethics. Gyekye's (1997) moderate communitarianism presents a significant compromise between individualistic atomism and collective absolutism. Leaders are socially embedded and carry obligations to community, but communal belonging does not erase individual moral responsibility. Metz (2011) refines this understanding by anchoring Ubuntu on connections of identification and solidarity that honour human dignity. In this view, preserving a relationship with political leaders is not itself the highest communal good. A relationship that necessitates silence in the face of injustice may undermine the ethical principles it purports to uphold, as it neglects people victimised by authority.

This perspective also changes the meaning of autonomy. Ethical independence does not necessarily imply a Western-style disengagement from society. It can mean the capacity to remain answerable to a wider moral community, including the poor, victims, congregations, ecumenical partners, future generations, and God, rather than being captured by a narrow patron-client relationship. Bujo's (2001) dialogical ethic and Magesa's (1997) emphasis on communal flourishing reinforce this broader accountability. Political proximity is ethical when it serves the common

good; it becomes corrupting when a privileged relationship displaces wider obligations.

C. *Biblical Prophetic Theology*

The third perspective is biblical prophetic theology. Amos 5:21–24 is especially significant because the prophet does not contrast religion with politics; he condemns worship that coexists with injustice. Barton (2012) notes that social wrongdoing is central to the theology of Amos. The rhetorical impact of the text is rooted in YHWH's dismissal of ritualistic practices in the absence of justice. For church leadership, the implication is institutional: religious legitimacy cannot be preserved through liturgy, titles, or political ceremony while public injustice is ignored. Prophetic integrity unites worship and justice instead of considering them as distinct realms.

Micah 6:8 operates within a covenant lawsuit in which ritual performance is displaced by ethical fidelity. Tushima (2019) interprets the command to “do justice,” “love mercy,” and “walk humbly” as an integrated vision of right relationships, covenant loyalty, and humble discipleship with direct relevance to African public life. The verse is not a mere platitude advocating kindness; it challenges leadership that replaces genuine justice with superficial spirituality. Humility also limits prophetic self-righteousness: church leaders are accountable moral witnesses, not alternative sovereigns.

Luke 4:18–19 places good news to the poor, release, sight, and freedom at the centre of Jesus' public vocation. Read alongside Acts 5:29, “We must obey God rather than any human authority,” the New Testament establishes both a positive social orientation and a limit on political obedience. These writings do not permit enduring hostility toward the government; they reject the notion of the government's supreme moral authority. The church may collaborate, engage in negotiations, or provide mediation, yet it must not permit political authority to alter its criteria of truth and justice.

D. *Integrated Proposition*

Together, these perspectives yield the paper's core proposition: prophetic integrity is ethical autonomy exercised relationally. Ethical leadership contributes consistency and public modelling; virtue ethics contributes character and practical wisdom; African communitarian ethics locates leaders within reciprocal obligations; and biblical prophetic theology provides a transcendent norm that contextualises political authority. The synthesis dismisses both detached moral individualism and unquestioning community compliance. It enquires if relationships with authority continue to be directed toward justice, dignity, truth, and the prosperity of the broader community.

IV. ANALYSIS / APPLICATION

A. Political Proximity Is Not Political Compromise

Political proximity includes personal access to officeholders, participation in state ceremonies, advisory roles, public prayer at national events, government partnerships, mediation processes, financial support, and informal relationships. None of these is inherently unethical. In situations of conflict or fragile institutions, access can facilitate peacebuilding, humanitarian negotiations, educational collaborations, and direct advocacy. Maak and Pless's (2006) relational perspective on responsible leadership endorses the notion that ethical leadership frequently necessitates interaction with many stakeholders instead of retreat.

The ethical difficulty is that proximity creates reciprocal expectations. Political leaders may expect public blessing, silence, access to religious platforms, or symbolic legitimacy. Church institutions may rely on land, licences, security, donations, tax concessions, invitations, or elite networks. Even without explicit bribery, leaders can internalise the costs of criticism. The ensuing compromise frequently unfolds incrementally: language loses precision, criticism is postponed, ethical standards are inconsistently enforced, or injustice is reframed as a matter requiring endless dialogue.

Four mechanisms of capture are especially significant. The first is patronage dependence, where material benefits make criticism costly. The second is access domestication, where leaders fear that forthright speech will end their opportunity to influence decision makers. The third is partisan affiliation, wherein religious identity aligns with a political party, ethnic group, liberation movement, or governing coalition. The fourth is institutional self-preservation, when apprehension of retribution, property loss, limited broadcasting, or threats to the safety of congregants fosters silence. These mechanisms can overlap and should be analysed without assuming corrupt intent. The ethical question concerns how dependence affects judgment, not whether every relationship is consciously transactional.

B. South Africa: From Resistance to Accountable Engagement

South Africa offers a well-documented example of changing prophetic location. Throughout apartheid, ecumenical Christian figures often articulated their dissent against a manifestly unjust political order. During the democratic era, the dynamics between ecclesiastical authorities and the state inevitably transformed. Kgatle (2018) argues that the prophetic voice of the South African Council of Churches became weaker after 1994 as leaders entered new relationships with the democratic state and as an earlier generation of prophetic leadership receded. The significance of this case is not that engagement with democratic government was wrong. Rather, it reveals a

proximity paradox: political transformation can increase legitimate access while simultaneously reducing the social distance that once made critique easier.

A mature ethical response cannot romanticise permanent opposition. The church in the post-apartheid era also holds obligations for fostering reconciliation, developing institutions, promoting social welfare, and strengthening democracy. Tutu's reconciliation ethic, for example, sought truth and social repair rather than political vengeance. Yet reconciliation is ethically distorted if it becomes immunity from accountability. In the proposed framework, the question is whether closer relationships retain principled consistency: can the same church that resisted injustice under one regime name injustice under a successor regime without calculating partisan advantage?

C. Kenya: Public Influence, Development, and the Ambiguity of Access

Gifford's (2009) analysis of Christianity, politics, and public life in Kenya illustrates that Christian involvement is neither uniform nor static. Mainline churches played visible roles in political criticism in earlier periods, while later public engagement included extensive development activity and complex relationships with political and donor institutions. This transition demonstrates that prophetic leadership encompasses more than mere protest; educational institutions, health initiatives, electoral monitoring, peacekeeping efforts, and development activities may all represent public ethics. At the same time, institutional expansion can create new dependencies and priorities.

The Kenyan context therefore highlights a second ethical tension: the church may become socially useful yet morally cautious. Development competence does not automatically secure prophetic integrity. Gifford's wider work on African Christianity also warns against treating all forms of Christian public presence as equivalent (Gifford, 2016). Ethical analysis should distinguish service delivery from moral independence. A church can offer significant social benefits while hesitating to challenge influential benefactors; on the other hand, a church that is vocally aggressive may be deficient in internal oversight. Prophetic integrity necessitates the presence of both societal justice and institutional ethics.

D. Zimbabwe: Theological Language as Resistance or Legitimation

Zimbabwe illustrates how theology itself can mediate political compromise. Musoni (2021) shows how a Pentecostal movement's political response can become spiritualised in ways that avoid direct confrontation within a polarised environment. Spiritual discourse could emphasise prayer, tranquillity, or supernatural authority, neglecting a thorough critique of inequitable systems. Such rhetoric is not automatically complicit; prayer and peace are central Christian commitments. The ethical problem arises when

spiritualisation functions selectively, discouraging critique of power while permitting public endorsement or symbolic support.

Iheanacho (2022), in contrast, explores the Catholic prophetic mission in the evolving dynamics of church-state relations in Africa and analyses the 2020 pastoral intervention by Zimbabwean Catholic bishops as a case of public moral critique. The contrast demonstrates that neither Pentecostal nor Catholic identity predetermines ethical behaviour. What matters is how theological claims structure accountability. Religious speech has the capacity to sanctify authority, mitigate discord, or incite opposition. Ethical leadership analysis must consider language since terms like “national unity,” “peace,” “honour,” and “submission” might signify authentic moral values, however they may also be utilised to safeguard uneven authority unless they are coupled with justice and truth.

E. Relational Ethics and the Limits of Deference

African communitarian ethics helps explain why political compromise cannot be analysed only through individual motives. Leaders may be embedded in ethnic, regional, denominational, kinship, and generational relationships. Respect for elders and social harmony may make public confrontation appear culturally irresponsible. Gyekye's (1997) tempered communitarianism dismisses the notion that community undermines individual moral autonomy. Majeed (2018) likewise associates communitarian philosophy with political ethics, acknowledging the necessity for institutional and legal accountability.

The key ethical distinction is between relational respect and moral surrender. Metz's (2011) interpretation of Ubuntu is valuable as it emphasises solidarity aimed at human dignity rather than only fostering amicability with influential individuals. A bishop who privately advises a president may be acting relationally and prophetically if access is used to protect citizens and if public speech remains consistent. A leader who withholds moral criticism because a politician is a benefactor, co-ethnic, congregant, or personal friend allows a narrow relationship to override the wider community. The relational failure is not excessive confrontation but insufficient solidarity with those harmed by injustice.

Oduyoye's (2001) African women's theology introduces an additional test: who is excluded from the ethical discourse? Elite church-state relationships can become self-referential, with senior male leaders negotiating the common good while women, youth, displaced persons, opposition communities, and economically vulnerable citizens remain objects rather than participants. Prophetic integrity therefore requires widening the moral constituency of leadership. The vulnerable are not merely beneficiaries of church advocacy; their experiences are sources of moral knowledge.

F. Biblical Tests of Prophetic Integrity

Amos offers a direct challenge to religious-political respectability. In Amos 5, worship continues, festivals are celebrated, and religious institutions remain active, yet the prophet declares such observance unacceptable because justice has been severed from worship. Barton (2012) demonstrates that Amos's theological perspective subjects societal injustices to divine scrutiny. The leadership implication is that institutional religious success cannot compensate for selective silence. A church with full sanctuaries, state access, and public ceremonies may still fail ethically if it normalises exploitation.

Micah 6:8 adds three disciplines. Justice requires substantive attention to right relations and fair treatment; steadfast love requires loyal, covenantal commitment; humility requires accountability before God rather than self-exaltation. Tushima's (2019) exegesis connects these demands to African public justice. They collectively oppose two extremes. Justice devoid of mercy may devolve into biased retribution, while mercy without justice may lead to privileged exemption from accountability. Humility prevents religious leaders from imagining themselves as infallible political arbiters.

Luke 4:18–19 provides a further criterion: the direction of public ministry is toward those burdened by poverty, exclusion, captivity, and diminished agency. The passage lacks a contemporary policy agenda, although it discloses an ethical perspective. When church-state relationships are assessed, one should ask who gains voice, protection, and practical freedom from the relationship. Acts 5:29 ultimately delineates a limit: governmental power must not be supreme. Obedience to God limits the church's willingness to comply with commands, expectations, or incentives that contradict its moral vocation.

G. An Original Relational Prophetic Integrity Framework

The preceding analysis suggests that proximity and autonomy should be treated as separate variables. This produces four leadership positions. Low political proximity with high ethical autonomy can generate critical independence, although it may lack access for mediation. High proximity with low autonomy produces captured witness, in which access is purchased by moral dependence. Low proximity with low autonomy produces disengaged irrelevance: the church neither influences power nor speaks with moral clarity. The most demanding position is high proximity with high ethical autonomy—accountable engagement—where leaders maintain access while preserving the capacity to criticise, refuse, disclose, and withdraw when necessary.

To make this distinction operational, the Relational Prophetic Integrity framework proposes five tests. First, the material independence test asks whether donations,

contracts, property, security, or institutional benefits create a foreseeable conflict of loyalty. Second, the consistency test asks whether comparable conduct is judged by comparable moral criteria regardless of party, ethnicity, friendship, or regime. Third, the deliberative autonomy test asks whether church leaders can reach decisions through protected internal processes rather than political pressure or personality dominance. Fourth, the solidarity test asks whether affected and vulnerable communities shape moral discernment rather than merely receiving elite decisions. Fifth, the transparency test asks whether political relationships, gifts, endorsements, and public roles can be explained openly to members and the wider public.

These tests do not eliminate prudence. Prophetic leadership might occasionally communicate in private prior to making public declarations; it may delay a pronouncement to safeguard life; it may choose to mediate rather than condemn. Ethical autonomy is therefore not measured by volume or aggression. The stronger test is whether leaders can give morally coherent reasons for timing, method, and content, and whether those reasons remain stable when political alliances change. Prophetic courage is disciplined truthfulness, not performative confrontation.

Table 1. Political Proximity and Ethical Autonomy Matrix

Political proximity	Ethical autonomy	Leadership position
Low	High	Critical independence
High	High	Accountable engagement
High	Low	Captured witness
Low	Low	Disengaged irrelevance

Note. The matrix distinguishes physical or institutional access to political authority from the church leader’s capacity to make and communicate moral judgments independently of patronage, fear, or partisan obligation.

V. DISCUSSION

The analysis reframes political compromise as a form of relational capture rather than as simple political involvement. This distinction matters because faith-based leadership in African contexts is inherently relational. Church leaders often work through networks of elders, families, denominational structures, community authorities, donors, and public officials. A framework that equates integrity with distance would misunderstand both African communitarian ethics and the practical responsibilities of public ministry. At the same time, relationality cannot be used to excuse moral inconsistency. The ethical task is to

preserve relationships without allowing any single relationship to monopolise moral obligation.

This discourse enhances the field of ethical leadership research in three distinct manners. First, it extends the concept of ethical leadership beyond organisational behaviour into ecclesiastical public leadership, where the leader’s authority is partly theological and symbolic. Brown et al. (2005) emphasise social learning; in churches, that mechanism is intensified because political behaviour can be interpreted as spiritually legitimate. When respected clergy repeatedly appear with political elites without transparent moral boundaries, followers may infer endorsement even when none is verbally stated. Ethical communication therefore includes explaining the purpose and limits of political access.

Secondly, the Relational Prophetic Integrity framework contributes an African corrective to autonomy-centred ethics. Ethical autonomy is redefined as accountable independence within a network of obligations, not as separation from community. This resonates with Gyekye’s (1997) moderate communitarianism, Metz’s (2011) dignity-centred Ubuntu, and Bujo’s (2001) dialogical African ethic. The model also acknowledges the risk identified by Oduyoye (2001): communities themselves can conceal unequal power. Relational accountability must therefore be broad enough to include marginalised voices, not merely established leaders.

Third, the framework enhances African public theology by pinpointing institutional practices that can uphold prophetic witness. Much theological literature emphasises courage, justice, and speaking truth to power. Those values are essential yet inadequate. Moral courage is difficult to sustain where institutions are financially dependent, governance is personalised, decision making is opaque, or leaders lack protected forums for dissent. Prophetic witness therefore requires governance architecture. Churches can strengthen integrity through gift and conflict-of-interest policies, diversified funding, collective decision-making structures, clear rules for political endorsements, transparent reporting of government partnerships, and ecumenical mechanisms that reduce the vulnerability of isolated leaders.

The biblical analysis also suggests that prophetic witness must be both critical and constructive. Brueggemann’s (2018) account of prophetic imagination joins criticism of dominant arrangements to the energising of alternative possibilities. Katongole (2011) similarly argues that African Christian political witness must embody alternative social practices rather than simply denounce failed politics. This means churches should not measure faithfulness only by the frequency of political statements. They ought to foster honest institutions, equitable employment, prudent financial practices, gender inclusivity, safeguarding, impartial civic education, and responsible internal governance. A church

that condemns corruption publicly but practises opaque leadership internally weakens its own witness.

The framework has practical implications for leadership formation. Seminaries and denominational training programs ought to regard political ethics as an essential leadership skill rather than a supplementary subject. Training ought to encompass ethical decision-making, public theology, African moral philosophy, management of conflicts of interest, media communication, and practice based on real-life scenarios. Leaders need language for declining gifts, resisting partisan use of pulpits, responding to invitations from competing political actors, and deciding when confidential engagement should become public witness. Institutionalising such preparation reduces the burden on individual heroism.

There are important limitations. This paper is conceptual and relies on published scholarship and documented examples rather than original interviews or systematic analysis of a defined corpus of church documents. The examples provided are demonstrative, rather than indicative of Africa in its whole. National political systems, denominational histories, legal environments, and theological traditions differ significantly. Future research should test the Relational Prophetic Integrity framework through comparative document analysis and interviews across multiple church traditions. Researchers could examine whether the five tests predict public consistency during elections, constitutional crises, corruption scandals, or transitions of government. Attention should also be given to women, younger clergy, lay leaders, and local congregations whose political ethics may differ from national church elites.

A further research question concerns the ethics of silence. Silence should not automatically be coded as compromise. It could be tactical, pastoral, or safeguarding. Future studies should distinguish silence caused by fear or patronage from silence grounded in confidential mediation, uncertainty, or concern for life. The most promising research would therefore examine not merely whether church leaders speak, but how they reason, whom they consult, what dependencies shape their choices, and whether their criteria remain consistent across political relationships.

VI. CONCLUSION

The ethical challenge facing African church leadership is not simply whether to engage political power, but how to remain morally free while doing so. Churches occupy public space through education, welfare, mediation, civic formation, theological speech, and symbolic authority. Withdrawal from politics can therefore be as ethically problematic as uncritical political alignment. The appropriate standard is neither permanent opposition nor privileged access; it is relational engagement governed by ethical autonomy.

This paper has argued that political proximity becomes compromise when it alters the content, consistency, or beneficiaries of moral judgment. Ethical leadership theory shows why visible conduct and consistent standards matter for institutional credibility. Virtue-oriented ethics identifies courage, justice, humility, and practical wisdom as dispositions necessary for navigating political pressure. African communitarian ethics demonstrates that leaders are formed within relationships of responsibility and solidarity, while also warning, especially in its moderate and dignity-centred forms, that community cannot erase personal moral agency. Biblical prophetic theology adds a decisive norm: worship, access, and public status are ethically empty when detached from justice, mercy, truth, and accountability.

The proposed Relational Prophetic Integrity framework translates these insights into five practical tests: material independence, consistency of moral criteria, deliberative autonomy, solidarity with vulnerable communities, and transparency. Its primary contribution is the differentiation of political proximity from political capture. A leader can maintain proximity to authority to advise, negotiate, and support while yet retaining the autonomy to contest, decline, or disengage. On the other hand, mere political distance does not ensure integrity if the church remains silent, harbours internal injustices, or is detached from societal suffering.

For African faith-based leadership, the practical priority is to build institutions that make courage sustainable. Transparent finances, diversified funding, collective discernment, conflict-of-interest rules, non-partisan political engagement, inclusive consultation, and accountable public communication can reduce dependence on exceptional individuals. Prophetic witness is strongest when it is not merely the charisma of a courageous leader but the disciplined moral practice of a community. In this sense, the church's public credibility depends on its capacity to remain relational without becoming captive, politically engaged without becoming partisan, and pastorally prudent without surrendering the responsibility to speak and embody justice.

REFERENCES

1. Barton, J. (2012). *The theology of the book of Amos*. Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139046497>
2. Bedi, A., Alpaslan, C. M., & Green, S. (2016). A meta-analytic review of ethical leadership outcomes and moderators. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 139(3), 517–536. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-015-2625-1>
3. Bediako, K. (1995). *Christianity in Africa: The renewal of a non-Western religion*. Edinburgh University Press.
4. Brown, M. E., & Treviño, L. K. (2006). Ethical leadership: A review and future directions. *The*

- Leadership Quarterly*, 17(6), 595–616.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2006.10.004>
5. Brown, M. E., Treviño, L. K., & Harrison, D. A. (2005). Ethical leadership: A social learning perspective for construct development and testing. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 97(2), 117–134.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.obhdp.2005.03.002>
6. Brueggemann, W. (2018). *The prophetic imagination* (40th anniversary ed.). Fortress Press.
7. Bujo, B. (2001). *Foundations of an African ethic: Beyond the universal claims of Western morality*. Crossroad.
8. Ciulla, J. B. (Ed.). (2014). *Ethics, the heart of leadership* (3rd ed.). Praeger.
9. Eisenbeiss, S. A. (2012). Re-thinking ethical leadership: An interdisciplinary integrative approach. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 23(5), 791–808.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2012.03.001>
10. Gifford, P. (1998). *African Christianity: Its public role*. Indiana University Press.
11. Gifford, P. (2009). *Christianity, politics and public life in Kenya*. Hurst.
12. Gifford, P. (2016). *Christianity, development and modernity in Africa*. Oxford University Press.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780190495732.001.0001>
13. Gyekye, K. (1997). *Tradition and modernity: Philosophical reflections on the African experience*. Oxford University Press.
14. Iheanacho, V. U. (2022). The Catholic Church and prophetic mission: Transitioning church-state relations in Africa. *Religions*, 13(4), 339.
<https://doi.org/10.3390/rel13040339>
15. Kalu, O. (2008). *African Pentecostalism: An introduction*. Oxford University Press.
16. Katongole, E. (2011). *The sacrifice of Africa: A political theology for Africa*. William B. Eerdmans.
17. Kgatle, M. S. (2018). The prophetic voice of the South African Council of Churches: A weak voice in post-1994 South Africa. *HTS Teologiese Studies/Theological Studies*, 74(1), Article a5153.
<https://doi.org/10.4102/hts.v74i1.5153>
18. Kunhiyop, S. W. (2008). *African Christian ethics*. Hippo Books.
19. Maak, T., & Pless, N. M. (2006). Responsible leadership in a stakeholder society—A relational perspective. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 66, 99–115.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-006-9047-z>
20. Magesa, L. (1997). *African religion: The moral traditions of abundant life*. Orbis Books.
21. Majeed, H. M. (2018). Moderate communitarianism and the idea of political morality in African democratic practice. *Diametros*, 56, 85–104.
<https://doi.org/10.33392/diam.1245>
22. Marshall, R. (2009). *Political spiritualities: The Pentecostal revolution in Nigeria*. University of Chicago Press.
<https://doi.org/10.7208/chicago/9780226507149.001.0001>
23. Mbiti, J. S. (1969). *African religions and philosophy*. Heinemann.
24. Metz, T. (2007). Toward an African moral theory. *Journal of Political Philosophy*, 15(3), 321–341.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9760.2007.00280.x>
25. Metz, T. (2011). Ubuntu as a moral theory and human rights in South Africa. *African Human Rights Law Journal*, 11(2), 532–559.
26. Mugambi, J. N. K. (1995). *From liberation to reconstruction: African Christian theology after the Cold War*. East African Educational Publishers.
27. Musoni, P. (2021). Spiritualised political theology in a polarised political environment: A Pentecostal movement’s response to party politics in Zimbabwe. *HTS Teologiese Studies/Theological Studies*, 77(4), Article a6312.
<https://doi.org/10.4102/hts.v77i4.6312>
28. Nyiawung, M. D. (2010). The prophetic witness of the church as an appropriate mode of public discourse in African societies. *HTS Teologiese Studies/Theological Studies*, 66(1), Article a791.
<https://doi.org/10.4102/hts.v66i1.791>
29. Oduyoye, M. A. (2001). *Introducing African women’s theology*. Sheffield Academic Press.
30. Orobator, A. E. (2008). *Theology brewed in an African pot: An introduction to Christian doctrine from an African perspective*. Orbis Books.
31. Shutte, A. (2001). *Ubuntu: An ethic for a new South Africa*. Cluster Publications.
32. Treviño, L. K., Hartman, L. P., & Brown, M. (2000). Moral person and moral manager: How executives develop a reputation for ethical leadership. *California Management Review*, 42(4), 128–142.
<https://doi.org/10.2307/41166057>
33. Tushima, C. T. A. (2019). Advancing justice in the search for peace: An exegetical study of Micah 6:8 as a recipe for peace in Africa.

Verbum et Ecclesia, 40(1), Article a1961.

<https://doi.org/10.4102/ve.v40i1.1961>

34. Yukl, G., Mahsud, R., Hassan, S., & Prussia, G. E. (2013). An improved measure of ethical leadership. *Journal of Leadership & Organizational Studies*, 20(1), 38–48.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1548051811429352>
35. *Biblical quotations and references are discussed as primary texts; wording should be checked against the Bible translation required by the target journal or conference before submission.*