



Modernizing Leadership in the Indonesian Navy

Arso Bawono

Student Doctoral in Economics Program, Trisakti University, Jakarta, Indonesia

ARTICLE INFO

Published Online:
27 February 2026

Corresponding Author:
Arso Bawono

ABSTRACT

In alignment with its strategic ambition to become a Global Maritime Fulcrum, Indonesia requires a resilient naval force capable of maneuvering through intricate security landscapes. This research investigates the evolution of leadership paradigms within the Indonesian Navy (TNI AL), focusing on institutional adaptation to rapid technological disruption and fluctuating geopolitical tensions in the Indo-Pacific. Adopting a qualitative approach through systematic literature review and thematic analysis, the study delineates a triadic framework of transformation: technological proficiency, strategic human capital development, and organizational agility. The findings suggest a fundamental shift from rigid, hierarchical command structures toward a more adaptive, transformational leadership model. This transition is essential for upholding maritime sovereignty and ensuring institutional readiness against the multifaceted challenges of the 21st century.

KEYWORDS: TNI AL, leadership transformation, maritime security, human capital, modernization.

INTRODUCTION

Indonesia's status as the world's premier archipelagic state, comprising over 17,000 islands positioned at the intersection of the Indian and Pacific Oceans, places the Indonesian Navy (*Tentara Nasional Indonesia Angkatan Laut* or TNI AL) as the cornerstone of national sovereignty and regional equilibrium (Cribb & Ford, 2009). Historically, naval doctrine was confined to littoral defense; however, contemporary geopolitical shifts necessitate a more expansive operational reach. Under the "Global Maritime Fulcrum" (GMF) vision, Indonesia seeks to leverage its strategic geography to emerge as a central actor in global maritime affairs (Laksmiana, 2017). Such a shift demands modernization that extends beyond physical assets. The transition from a Brown Water force to a Green/Blue Water Navy capability requires enhanced power projection and high-seas endurance (Till, 2013). While this modernization is codified in the Minimum Essential Force (MEF) roadmap, targeting the acquisition of advanced systems like Sigma-class frigates and Scorpene submarines, technological sophistication alone is insufficient. As Marsetio (2014) posits, the efficacy of naval hardware is ultimately dictated by the Man behind the Gun.

Consequently, there is a critical need to recalibrate the leadership paradigm within the TNI AL to address 21st-century complexities. Modern naval commanders must navigate a "VUCA" (Volatile, Uncertain, Complex, and Ambiguous) environment characterized by non-traditional threats, including maritime piracy, illegal fishing (IUU), and

cyber-attacks on command infrastructures (Scott, 2019). Furthermore, regional maritime frictions, particularly in the North Natuna Sea, demand leaders who possess tactical precision alongside diplomatic finesse and strategic foresight (Anwar, 2016). Within this context, leadership transformation involves cultivating Transformational Leadership that prioritizes innovation, adaptive decision-making, and digital literacy (Bass & Riggio, 2006). This study examines how the TNI AL is re-engineering its leadership frameworks to maintain maritime order in an increasingly contested Indo-Pacific (Supriyanto, 2016).

THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

The theoretical foundation of this study is anchored in the synergy between Transformational Leadership Theory, Adaptive Leadership in VUCA environments, and the Strategic Human Capital approach within naval operations. Central to this framework is the Transformational Leadership model proposed by Bass and Riggio (2006), which posits that leaders in high-stakes environments must go beyond transactional exchanges to inspire followers through four pillars: idealized influence, inspirational motivation, intellectual stimulation, and individualized consideration. In the context of the TNI AL's modernization, this theory explains how naval commanders can foster a culture of innovation and resilience necessary to transition from a traditional littoral force to a technologically advanced Green/Blue Water Navy.

To address the external pressures of the Indo-Pacific, this study incorporates the VUCA (Volatility, Uncertainty, Complexity, and Ambiguity) Framework, originally popularized by the U.S. Army War College (1987) and further developed by Bennis and Nanus (1985). This framework serves as a lens to understand the modern maritime security landscape, where traditional naval warfare intersects with unconventional threats such as cyber-attacks and maritime hybrid warfare. In response to these complexities, Heifetz, Grashow, and Linsky's (2009) Theory of Adaptive Leadership provides the mechanism for "re-engineering" the TNI AL leadership paradigm. Unlike technical leadership, adaptive leadership emphasizes the ability to navigate "distress" and mobilize personnel to tackle problems for which there are no ready-made solutions, such as the diplomatic-military overlaps in the North Natuna Sea (Anwar, 2016).

Furthermore, the study utilizes the Seapower Theory by Geoffrey Till (2013), which argues that modern naval power is a combination of four elements: military sea control, maritime communication, the defense of the homeland, and the use of the sea as a resource. However, as Marsetio (2014) emphasizes through the "Man behind the Gun" philosophy, the technological superiority defined by the Minimum Essential Force (MEF) roadmap remains dormant without the "Humanware" component. This is supported by Resource-Based View (RBV) Theory (Barney, 1991), which suggests that a military organization's sustainable competitive advantage—or "maritime superiority"—stems not just from physical assets (frigates and submarines), but from intangible human resources that are rare, inimitable, and non-substitutable.

Finally, the framework integrates the Global Maritime Fulcrum (GMF) Strategic Construct (Laksmana, 2017) to contextualize leadership within Indonesia's specific geopolitical identity. This construct requires a shift from "inward-looking" defense to "outward-looking" power projection. By synthesizing these theories, the study constructs a model where Transformational and Adaptive Leadership act as the primary drivers of Strategic Human Capital, ultimately determining the TNI AL's efficacy in maintaining regional equilibrium and sovereign integrity in an increasingly contested maritime domain (Supriyanto, 2016).

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This study employs a qualitative descriptive methodology to explore institutional shifts and evolving leadership paradigms within a military framework (Creswell & Poth, 2018). Data were gathered through a systematic secondary analysis of scholarly literature, peer-reviewed journals, and primary policy documents, such as the *Indonesian Defence White Paper* and TNI AL strategic blueprints (Ministry of Defence, 2015). The research utilizes thematic content analysis (Braun & Clarke, 2006) to examine

how leadership philosophies have evolved to meet the operational mandates of the Minimum Essential Force (MEF) program.

Furthermore, the analysis scrutinizes the integration of Industrial Revolution 4.0 technologies, including automation and cyber-physical systems, into naval command structures, illustrating how these disruptions necessitate a move away from traditional hierarchies toward more agile, transformational styles (Miles et al., 2014; Snyder, 2001).

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

3.1. From Traditional Command to Transformational Leadership

The legacy leadership paradigm within the TNI AL has traditionally been defined by a top-down, bureaucratic hierarchy focused on discipline and strict command adherence. While this Transactional model suffices for routine operations, the volatility of modern security demands a Transformational approach. Transformational leaders inspire through intellectual stimulation and individual consideration (Bass & Riggio, 2006). For the TNI AL, this shift is vital for empowering mid-level officers to execute decentralized command during high-stakes encounters, such as those in the North Natuna Sea. By fostering an environment that rewards innovation and autonomous decision-making, the Navy gains the operational flexibility required in contested maritime domains (Laksmana, 2017).

3.2. Technological Adaptation and the "Navy 4.0" Framework

As naval warfare enters the Navy 4.0 era, the integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI), Unmanned Surface Vehicles (USV), and advanced sensor suites has redefined command requirements. Technological disruption necessitates leaders who are not only tactically proficient but also digitally literate. This transformation is currently being institutionalized through curriculum updates at the Naval Academy (AAL) and the Naval Command and Staff College (Seskoal). These programs increasingly emphasize Net-Centric Warfare (NCW), where information dominance is as critical as kinetic firepower (Marsetio, 2014). Modern leaders must function as Information Architects, synthesizing data across platforms to maintain a decisive edge in multi-domain operations.

3.3. Human Capital and Maritime Diplomacy

Despite technological leaps, the Man behind the Gun remains the heart of TNI AL's organizational culture. Transformation is evident in a meritocratic promotion system designed to elevate high-potential officers. Moreover, this evolution extends into Maritime Diplomacy. Through participation in multilateral exercises like RIMPAC and the Komodo Exercise (MNEK), Indonesian officers adopt global standards of interoperability and leadership (Supriyanto, 2016). These engagements develop Global Leaders capable of collaborating with international partners to mitigate

transnational threats like piracy while steadfastly defending national interests (Sambhi, 2015).

CONCLUSION

Leadership transformation within the TNI AL is a strategic necessity born of military modernization and regional geopolitical shifts. To realize the Global Maritime Fulcrum vision, the Navy must harmonize its core values, *Trisila TNI AL* (Discipline, Hierarchy, Honor), with the adaptive requirements of modern leadership. The pillars of technological literacy, human capital development, and organizational agility are intrinsically linked. Mastery of "Navy 4.0" is impossible without a leadership model that promotes creative problem-solving. To achieve World Class Navy status, the TNI AL must continue professionalizing its officer corps, ensuring they possess the strategic foresight and diplomatic acumen to safeguard Indonesia's maritime sovereignty in the 21st century.

REFERENCES

1. Anwar, D. F. (2016). Indonesia's Maritime Ambitions and China's Revised Maritime Silk Road. *China Quarterly of International Strategic Studies*, 2(2), 147-164.
2. Barney, J. (1991). *Firm Resources and Sustained Competitive Advantage*. (Foundational for human capital as a strategic asset).
3. Bass, B. M., & Riggio, R. E. (2006). *Transformational Leadership*. Psychology Press.
4. Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), 77-101.
5. Bennis, W., & Nanus, B. (1985). *Leaders: Strategies for Taking Charge*. (Origin of VUCA in leadership).
6. Creswell, J. W., & Poth, C. N. (2018). *Qualitative Inquiry and Research Design*. SAGE Publications.
7. Cribb, R., & Ford, M. (2009). *Indonesia as an Archipelago*. Australian National University.
8. Heifetz, R. A., Grashow, A., & Linsky, M. (2009). *The Practice of Adaptive Leadership*.
9. Laksmana, E. A. (2017). *The Endgame of Indonesia's Maritime Strategy*. Routledge.
10. Laksmana, E. A. (2017). *The Maritime Fulcrum: A New Direction in Indonesian Foreign Policy*.
11. Marsetio (2014). *Sea Power Indonesia*. (The "Man behind the Gun" concept).
12. Marsetio. (2014). *Sea Power Indonesia*. Universitas Pertahanan Press.
13. Miles, M. B., Huberman, A. M., & Saldaña, J. (2014). *Qualitative Data Analysis*. SAGE Publications.
14. Ministry of Defence of the Republic of Indonesia. (2015). *Indonesian Defence White Paper*. Jakarta.
15. Sambhi, N. (2015). *The Challenge of Maritime Reform in Indonesia*. ASPI Strategy Report.
16. Scott, D. (2019). Indonesia's Maritime Doctrine and Strategy. In *The Routledge Handbook of Asian Security Studies*.
17. Snyder, C. (2001). The Strategic Analysis of Public Policy. *International Journal of Naval History*.
18. Supriyanto, R. A. (2016). The Transformation of the Indonesian Navy. *Journal of Maritime Studies*.
19. Till, G. (2013). *Seapower: A Guide for the Twenty-First Century*. Routledge.
20. TNI Angkatan Laut. (2020). *Strategic Plan (Renstra) 2020-2024*. Mabesal.
21. Yayan, M. P. (2018). Navigating the Global Maritime Fulcrum. *Indonesian Journal of International Studies*, 5(1), 12-29.