



Educational Leadership in the AI Era: Opportunities, Challenges, and Future Directions

Dimitrios Karakoulas¹, Kostas Theologou²

PhD, National Technical University of Athens

Professor, National Technical University of Athens

ARTICLE INFO

Published Online:
21 January 2026

Corresponding Author:
Dimitrios Karakoulas

ABSTRACT

This article examines the integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into educational leadership, focusing on both the opportunities it presents and the challenges it entails. It conceptually clarifies key notions such as educational leadership, educational administration and management, and Artificial Intelligence, situating them within contemporary educational contexts. Particular emphasis is placed on the potential of AI to support personalized learning, optimize administrative and managerial processes, and enable data-informed, targeted educational interventions. At the same time, the article critically addresses major concerns related to algorithmic transparency, bias, data privacy, and broader ethical implications. Drawing on recent research, it proposes strategies for fostering productive collaboration between educators and educational leaders in the adoption of AI technologies. Ultimately, the article underscores the necessity of a reflective and ethically grounded approach to AI integration, in order to harness its transformative potential while safeguarding the human-centered values of education.

KEYWORDS: Artificial Intelligence, educational leadership, educational management and administration, educational technology

1. INTRODUCTION

Over the past three centuries –often identified as a formative period of Modernity–humanity has undergone profound transformations driven by successive industrial and technological revolutions. These developments have brought about decisive changes in the production process, enhancing speed and efficiency and inaugurating modern industry (Crafts, 2021). Alongside these transformations, numerous and significant technological innovations have emerged. For instance, during the Third Industrial Revolution, computers and the development of the Information Society became integral components of everyday life (Prisecaru, 2016).

In the contemporary Fourth Industrial Revolution, we are witnessing a further advancement of technology in relation to the Third, one that is generating fundamental changes in the fields of communication, information, and learning. Technologies such as the Internet, Genetic Engineering, and Artificial Intelligence (AI) constitute prominent examples of these radical transformations. Moreover, the current process of globalization has been substantially facilitated and intensified by the invention of the Internet, which has evolved at an unprecedented pace (Θεολόγου, 2016, p. 18; Karakoulas & Theologou, 2023, p.

19). Although we remain at an early stage of these developments, the innovations in question have already brought about significant changes in the ways we operate and interact with the world (Xu, David, & Kim, 2018; Καργιάννη, 2024, p. 1).

Education, as a fundamental pillar of society, plays a decisive role in shaping the future. In an era characterized by rapid technological advancement, the concept of educational leadership, as well as the administration and management of education, is confronted with unprecedented opportunities and challenges. The present study seeks to focus on the potential applications of Artificial Intelligence in the administration and management of education, as well as on its broader contribution to the formation of contemporary educational leadership.

2. CLARIFYING THE TERMS “EDUCATIONAL ADMINISTRATION AND MANAGEMENT,” “EDUCATIONAL LEADERSHIP,” AND “ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE”

According to Bush and Glover (2014), educational administration and management encompass the processes of planning, organizing, directing, and allocating resources

within an educational organization. Effective educational administration requires the application of appropriate management techniques and tools, such as strategic planning, performance management, and financial management.

A fundamental domain of educational administration and management is (educational) leadership. Educational leadership refers to the capacity to guide and manage educational organizations with the aim of achieving optimal learning outcomes. Leithwood, Seashore Louis, Anderson, and Wahlstrom (2004) argue that effective leadership is critical and decisive for the success of educational institutions. Effective leaders articulate a vision for the institution or organization, set clear goals, develop and support talented staff, and cultivate a positive school culture.

Artificial Intelligence has the potential to radically transform the teaching profession and, by extension, the administration and management of education. Although the actual impact of AI on education has not yet been fully explored (Cook & Gregory, 2018), its wide range of capabilities suggests that there are very few aspects of education that will ultimately remain unaffected. Increases in computational power and reductions in technological costs have enabled schools to establish technology-enhanced learning environments more easily and at lower cost.

AI is already being used in many school settings for instructional purposes; however, it could also be effectively utilized for administrative functions (Coccoli, Maresca, & Stanganelli, 2016). Educational leaders must be prepared to manage the transitions that Artificial Intelligence technologies are likely to bring about, particularly with regard to human resources (Manyika, Lund, Chui, Bughin, Woetzel, Batra, Ko, & Sanghvi, 2017).

AI applications may fundamentally transform the teaching profession in multiple ways, each with distinct implications. Artificial Intelligence is already being employed to assess student learning, support teachers, and provide instruction directly to learners (Cook & Gregory, 2018). Students' academic performance may be positively influenced through the use of such applications (Coccoli et al., 2016).

Artificial Intelligence was first defined in 1956 by John McCarthy.¹ The term refers to a category of algorithms or computational systems that resemble human cognitive processes, such as the ability to generalize, reason, discover meaning, and learn from prior experience (Castelvecchi, 2016). The term “artificial” alludes to real cognitive decision-making processes in the human brain (Ullman, 2019). Inspired by the way billions of brain cells (i.e., neurons) and neural networks communicate within the human brain, AI adopts a similar approach in order to identify patterns within

vast volumes of data, recognize speech, classify images, process language, and make adaptive decisions—often based on real-time sensor and digital data (Hof, 2013). In education, Artificial Intelligence plays a crucial role through the development of educational applications, such as chatbots, which are capable of interacting dynamically with users in real time (Chen, Chen, & Lin, 2020).

Nevertheless, limited attention has been paid to the role of Artificial Intelligence in the decision-making processes of educational leaders. Within the field of administrative science, AI has already prompted discussions regarding its application in public administration (Agarwal, 2018; Wirtz, Weyerer, & Geyer, 2019). The relevant literature provides insights into how AI might potentially influence educational leaders' decision-making. Its prospective integration into educational leadership indeed offers numerous opportunities, including personalized learning, intelligent instruction, the simplification of administrative tasks, and the enhancement of learning outcomes (Gupta, 2020; O'Neil & Chuang, 2019). However, significant limitations and challenges have also been identified, such as the risk of bias, lack of transparency, violations of privacy and data security, depersonalization, and insufficient technical expertise and resources (Veletsianos, 2019; Peters & Besley, 2020).

The use of Artificial Intelligence in educational leadership also raises ethical concerns, including the protection of students' privacy, the safeguarding of data security, and the prevention of the misuse of AI for surveillance or monitoring purposes (Igbokwe, 2023). Furthermore, AI may undermine teachers' professional autonomy and judgment by constraining their ability to adapt educational experiences to the individualized needs of learners.

The COVID-19 pandemic has, more broadly, accelerated the adoption of technology in education, underscoring the importance of educational leaders' understanding and effective use of Artificial Intelligence (Tyson & Sauers, 2021). The successful implementation of AI in education requires careful planning and strategic foresight on the part of educational leaders. A supportive environment must be cultivated for its effective use by engaging school communities and ensuring transparency and clarity regarding the application of technology. Leaders must understand stakeholders' perspectives and ensure that Artificial Intelligence is employed to enhance teaching and learning rather than to replace the human element. Consequently, further research is needed into the impact of AI on educational leadership and the challenges faced by school leaders during its implementation.

¹ John McCarthy (1927-2011) was an American computer scientist and cognitive scientist whose work was instrumental in establishing Artificial Intelligence as a distinct scientific field. By introducing the term “Artificial Intelligence” at the Dartmouth Summer Research Project on Artificial

Intelligence in 1956, McCarthy articulated a foundational research agenda that framed intelligence as a computational phenomenon, thereby shaping the epistemological and methodological orientation of the discipline for decades to come.

3. BENEFITS OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE IN EDUCATIONAL ADMINISTRATION

There is little doubt that Artificial Intelligence has evolved into a powerful and beneficial technological development for humanity, as it can be applied across multiple domains of social life, with educational administration and management being no exception. A growing body of academic research has highlighted the ways in which AI applications can be effectively utilized within the field of educational management. Indeed, educational organizations already employ AI-driven intelligent tutoring systems, a practice increasingly observed across diverse educational settings.

Intelligent tutoring systems are computer-based programs that use Artificial Intelligence to provide personalized instruction to learners. According to Zhang and Li (2021, p. 99), such systems are capable of “*adapting to the individual learning style of each student*”. AI can also be employed in the assessment of assignments and examination essays, a practice that may significantly reduce teachers’ workload while ensuring more consistent and objective evaluation. This observation is consistent with the findings of Ecker, Langer, König, and Schmitz (2018, p. 285), who argue that “*automated assessment systems have proven to be as accurate as human raters in many cases*”. Furthermore, AI can be used to analyze student data in order to identify learners who experience academic difficulties or other challenges and to support the provision of targeted interventions. As Wang, Zhang, Li, and Wang (2020, p. 223) note, “*predictive analytics can help educators identify students who are at risk of disengaging from a subject or failing a course, enabling timely intervention*”.

In addition, Artificial Intelligence renders personalized learning a tangible reality. Personalized learning has been associated with multiple positive outcomes, including improved academic performance and increased student engagement (Chen, Li, Li, & Li, 2021). In practical terms, AI enables the development of individualized learning pathways based on students’ specific needs, characteristics, and preferences. AI-supported chatbots, for example, can provide learners with immediate responses to their questions and offer academic support beyond regular instructional hours. Such chatbots are also capable of delivering timely, efficient, and predictive support, thereby contributing to the maintenance of students’ positive emotional disposition and fostering a sense of achievement and fulfillment (Liu, Zhao, Li, & Zhang, 2020).

Similarly, Smith (2021, 2022) identifies several applications of Artificial Intelligence in educational management that offer the following benefits:

- Security of educational facilities: AI-supported surveillance systems can detect unusual incidents and potential threats, alerting school security personnel in real time.
- Recruitment and task allocation: Artificial Intelligence can be used to analyze data related to

teaching candidates and identify individuals who are more likely to succeed in specific educational programs or roles.

- Financial aid and supplementary services: AI can assist educational institutions in automating financial aid applications, identifying students who may be eligible for scholarships or other forms of support, and providing personalized assistance to learners.
- Curriculum design aligned with labor market needs: Artificial Intelligence can be employed to analyze labor market trends and identify the skills and knowledge that students are likely to require in the future, thereby contributing to the development of new curricula and training programs

4. RISKS AND ETHICAL CHALLENGES

Artificial Intelligence has the potential to revolutionize educational administration by improving the effectiveness and efficiency of various processes, including subject-specific learning, curriculum design, and educators’ administrative tasks. Nevertheless, a range of limitations and concerns regarding the application of AI in educational management must also be carefully considered:

- Bias and discrimination: One of the most significant risks associated with the use of Artificial Intelligence in educational leadership is the potential emergence of bias and discrimination. As argued by Mason and Rennie (2018), AI algorithms may reproduce and amplify existing biases and inequalities within educational systems, thereby reinforcing injustice. For example, AI systems may perpetuate gender- or race-based biases in student decision-making processes or in admission prospects for higher education. Educational leaders must be aware of these limitations and actively work to ensure that AI is applied in a fair and impartial manner.
- Lack of transparency and misinterpretation: Another major limitation of Artificial Intelligence in educational management concerns transparency and the risk of misinterpretation. As noted by Veletsianos (2019), AI algorithms are often complex and difficult to interpret, making it challenging for educational administrators to assess their effectiveness or to identify potential errors and biases. This lack of transparency may hinder evidence-based decision-making and impede efforts to improve institutional performance.
- Violations of privacy and data security: A further critical concern relates to potential breaches of privacy and data security. As Akkaya-Kalayci and Yildirim (2020) emphasize, the use of Artificial Intelligence in educational management requires access to large volumes of data, including sensitive

personal information about students, institutions, and staff. Such data are vulnerable to cyberattacks and security breaches, potentially exposing confidential information and undermining stakeholders’ trust and acceptance.

- **Depersonalization and loss of human interaction:** Another significant limitation is the risk of reducing—or even eliminating—personal contact within educational settings. Peters and Besley (2020) argue that the use of Artificial Intelligence in educational administration may diminish human interaction and personalization, resulting in a less engaging and meaningful educational experience for learners. Educational administrators must therefore strike a careful balance between the efficiency gains offered by AI and the indispensable role of human interaction and relational engagement in education.
- **Lack of technical expertise and resources:** An additional challenge concerns insufficient technical expertise and limited institutional resources. Artificial Intelligence systems require specialized knowledge and skills, which many educational institutions may lack. Consequently, targeted training and professional development opportunities are essential to ensure that educators and administrators possess the competencies necessary for the effective and responsible use of AI (Igbokwe, 2023).
- **Replacement of human labor:** The application of Artificial Intelligence in educational administration also raises concerns about the potential replacement of human labor. While AI can automate administrative tasks, there is apprehension that this may lead to job losses among educators and support staff. It is therefore crucial to ensure that AI serves to support and enhance educators’ work rather than replace the human workforce (Igbokwe, 2023).
- **Lack of interoperability and system compatibility:** Another important challenge involves the limited interoperability and compatibility among different Artificial Intelligence systems. Educational institutions typically employ a range of digital platforms, such as learning management systems, student information systems, and assessment tools. Ensuring seamless integration among these systems is essential in order to provide a coherent and effective educational experience.
- **Risk of overreliance on Artificial Intelligence:** More broadly, concerns have been raised regarding excessive dependence on AI in educational administration and management. AI should not be designed to replace human educators and leaders, but rather to support them in their professional roles. It is imperative that AI be used as a tool to

meaningfully enhance teaching and learning without displacing the invaluable human element.

Beyond these challenges, there remains a broader lack—or insufficiency—of ethical and legal frameworks governing the use of Artificial Intelligence in education. One of the most pressing issues associated with AI in educational administration is the absence of comprehensive ethical and regulatory guidelines. AI algorithms have been shown to produce outcomes that may reflect bias and stereotyping; therefore, it is essential to ensure that AI is deployed ethically and transparently. A robust regulatory framework is needed to protect students’ privacy, safeguard data security, and prevent the misuse of AI for surveillance or monitoring purposes (Igbokwe, 2023).

In conclusion, the use of Artificial Intelligence in educational leadership raises serious concerns regarding the potential erosion of professional autonomy and judgment. AI systems—particularly those involved in administrative decision-making or the design of personalized learning programs—may undermine the professional expertise of educators and administrators. Reliance on AI for decisions traditionally made by human leaders risks diminishing educators’ roles and constraining their capacity to exercise critical judgment and adapt educational experiences to students’ individual needs. Such a shift may negatively affect not only job satisfaction but also the overall quality of education.

In sum, while Artificial Intelligence undeniably holds transformative potential for the administration and management of education, it simultaneously presents significant limitations and challenges that must be taken seriously. Addressing these challenges successfully requires careful planning, continuous evaluation, and a firm commitment to the ethical and responsible use of AI in educational administration.

5. PROPOSALS FOR A PRODUCTIVE INTEGRATION OF EDUCATIONAL LEADERSHIP AND ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE

The successful implementation of Artificial Intelligence programs in educational administration and management may be understood as having two distinct dimensions: an internal one and an external one. Internally, leaders must ensure that a careful plan is developed that anticipates potential conflicts. Leadership teams are particularly important in the process of school-level implementation of such software. These teams can function as coordinators of deliberation during the implementation process, and teams composed of individuals with diverse skill sets can offer different perspectives, views, and judgments. Potential issues are more likely to be identified by a leadership team than by a manager operating at a distance and, in effect, in isolation. It is also important that the leadership team not merely conform to the leader’s preferences, but instead proposes productive and innovative solutions to emerging issues, even when disagreements arise.

Early, substantive discussions during implementation—even among individuals with divergent viewpoints—can help identify problematic points in advance, allowing the actual rollout to proceed more smoothly (Tyson & Sauers, 2021).

Educational leaders must also adopt a macroscopic strategic perspective when introducing AI programs in their schools. There should be a precise focus on programs that align with the goals of the school and the relevant district. Leaders need to genuinely understand the perspectives of stakeholders within their schools. The capacity to connect the program to the school’s needs can be beneficial in cultivating staff acceptance, as well as in mitigating fatigue or burnout that many teachers experience when confronted with yet another initiative. A clear articulation of how and why the program will be used enables teachers to understand why a particular application was selected, which may help prevent the reservations that often emerge when the dimensions and implications of an implementation remain unclear. Such practices may also help reduce some of the resistance that Rogers (2003) empirically identified as common when an organization adopts an innovation.

The external dimension of implementation is equally important. It concerns the work educational leaders must undertake to create enabling conditions for the effective adoption and use of a new Artificial Intelligence program. School communities as a whole are pivotal in embracing and adopting new technologies. Efforts should be made to help parents and other stakeholders understand the details of a new program and the reasons for its use. When schools communicate with transparency and clarity about why a program was selected and how it is linked to institutional needs, the school community is more likely to accept and support it. Parents and guardians today often have a deeper familiarity with AI than those in earlier periods, as many people already use AI in everyday life. This familiarity may facilitate recognition of how similar technologies can be used to secure more positive learning outcomes for their children. It is highly unlikely that this type of technology will disappear; therefore, school leaders must ensure that AI technologies are integrated in ways that maximize benefits for learners. As Zawacki-Richter, Marin, Bond, and Gouverneur (2019, p. 20) note, “*the full consequences of the development of Artificial Intelligence cannot yet be predicted today, but it seems likely that AI applications will remain a key issue in educational technology for the next 20 years*”.

It should also be noted that the COVID-19 pandemic has influenced how (educational) leaders perceive technology adoption. The outbreak compelled many states—and their administrative regions—to accelerate technology adoption out of necessity. States that had already invested substantially in building educators’ capacity to use educational technology were better able to enable a smoother transition to online learning environments. A similar logic appears to apply to leaders who focused on the adoption of technologies such as AI: they came to recognize the value of understanding

technology and using it in practice. Tyson and Sauers (2021) note that, in essence, when one is compelled to use a tool in a real way, one begins to understand it and to search for its benefits—an experience that may broaden perspectives for the collective good. The ways in which schools adopted technology during COVID-19 will likely shape future patterns of technology adoption more broadly.

The study by Tyson and Sauers (2021) provides substantial insight into leaders who have adopted Artificial Intelligence and the structures they have established to support it. Nevertheless, further research is needed on the impact of AI on educational and school leaders. It would therefore be both insightful and productive to examine more fully how AI affects educational leadership. Do principals who have implemented AI programs use the resulting data to make decisions related to curriculum and lifelong learning? Additionally, studies investigating both successes and failures in AI implementation would be valuable. Leaders also need to be informed about the challenges that may cause such programs to fail. A deep analysis of the impact of an AI program across the educational system could help prepare schools and educational institutions for the systemic changes AI may bring about.

With powerful Artificial Intelligence tools at their disposal, educational leaders may mistakenly treat AI-generated recommendations as definitive decisions. Leaders must determine when it is appropriate to employ AI-supported, data-informed decision making (Data Informed Decision Making – DIDM). AI takes many forms, ranging from algorithms that detect bullying and mental-health indicators in social media, to systems that identify students at high risk of dropping out, to tools that screen and recommend candidates for teaching positions, and wearable devices that monitor employee health factors (Russakovsky, 2016). Given that AI resembles human cognitive processes of decision making, its use requires leaders to understand the context of data and to prioritize the human factor. AI can function as a tool that educational leaders leverage for its efficiency and accuracy in data-informed decisions; however, it should not replace human decision making—especially in a domain that must be guided by students’ best interests. For example, what should a leader decide when a statistical model predicts a 60%—or even 98%—likelihood that a student will drop out? Should the leader invest more resources in that student or fewer? If a teacher is labeled “ineffective” in an evaluation system, should leaders terminate employment or provide additional mentoring and professional-development opportunities (Wang, 2021)?

Technological advances have often been praised as if they could prevent—or solve—every educational problem. Artificial Intelligence is not a magic wand that can cure all weaknesses in education, nor is it a “plug-and-play” technology that yields immediate results. It may be dangerous to rely exclusively on statistical outputs when making decisions that have broad consequences for people. In the age

of AI, a blind fixation on numbers without attention to social impact can undermine our commitment to education and to cultivating a virtuous, empathic, and organizationally innovative educational environment in which people can flourish. For this reason, AI should function as a complementary system –an instrument that leaders use to serve people. AI can help with part of the work required for statistical analysis, namely the collection, processing, and examination of data. Yet, given what we understand about both the capacities and the limitations of algorithms, it must be emphasized again that AI does not make decisions. Rather, the authority and responsibility for decision making should rest with educational leaders, whose primary concern must be the present and future interests of their students (Wang, 2021).

It is crucial for educational leaders to remember that data serve people –not the other way around. AI’s efficiency and accuracy can liberate educational leadership from the routine accumulation of numerical indicators and the constant demand to interpret them. The question is whether leaders are willing to use the time thus freed to engage with the people in their schools, to understand the complex realities behind the data, and to treat individuals with respect. Are leaders prepared to resist the temptation to seek quick fixes that erase the messy details involved in building and sustaining social relationships?

If we allow the value of data to override the value of people, we risk legitimizing our replacement by robotic leaders who grant Artificial Intelligence unrestricted decision-making authority. To avoid this outcome, educational leaders should devote more time to what makes us human and distinguishes us from machines: caring for others, expressing compassion and gratitude, and – ultimately– acting with ethical and affective discernment. In data-informed decision making, numbers are a means to an end; they are not the end in themselves. In education, data are used to serve students’ interests. AI can analyze numerical patterns with precision, whereas educational leaders must invest that analysis with a human approach grounded in empathy. In a shared future of AI and data-informed decision making, leaders should treat AI as an instrumental advisor rather than a co-deciding agent. They may delegate the laborious tasks of data analysis to algorithms and instead focus on communicating with people and helping them feel safe. Leaders cannot compete with AI in processing capacity or factual recall; however, they can offer a distinctly human approach –empathy, care, and compassion– within school contexts where decision-making is ultimately oriented towards human beings and their needs (Wang, 2021).

REFERENCES

1. Agarwal, P. K. (2018). Public administration challenges in the world of AI and bots. *Public Administration Review*, 78(6), 917-921.
2. Akkaya-Kalayci, T., & Yildirim, S. (2020). Ethics of artificial intelligence in education: A literature review. *Journal of Educational Technology & Society*, 23(3), 84-99.
3. Bush, T. & Glover, D. (2014). *Educational management: Major themes in education*. London: Routledge.
4. Castelveccchi, D. (2016, October 5). Can we open the black box of AI? *Nature*, 538(7623), 20-23.
5. Chen, L., Chen, P., & Lin, Z. (2020). Artificial Intelligence in Education: A Review. *IEEE Access*, 8, 75264-75278. doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2020.2988510.
6. Chen, X., Li, L., Li, X., & Li, J. (2021). A review of personalized learning based on artificial intelligence. *International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning*, 16(4), 35-47.
7. Coccoli, M. M., Maresca, P. P., & Stanganelli, L. (2016). Cognitive computing in education. *Journal of E-Learning and Knowledge Society*, Vol. 12, No. 2, pp. 55-69.
8. Cook, V. S., & Gregory, R. L. (2018). Emerging technologies: It’s not what “you” say – it’s what “they” do. *Online Learning*, 22(3), 121-130.
9. Crafts, N. (2021). Understanding productivity growth in the industrial revolution. *The Economic History Review*, 74(2), 309-338. doi:10.1111/ehr.13051.
10. Ecker, U. K. H., Langer, A. I., König, C. J., & Schmitz, E. A. (2018). Automated essay grading in the sociology classroom: Finding common ground. *Teaching Sociology*, 46(4), 283-292.
11. Gupta, S., & Jawanda, M. K. (2020). The impacts of COVID-19 on children. *Acta Paediatrica (Oslo, Norway: 1992)*, 109(11), 2181.
12. Hof, R. D. (2013, April 23). Deep learning. *MIT Technology Review*.
13. Θεολόγου, Κ. (2016). Η αναπόδραστη κυριαρχία της τεχνολογίας στην εκπαίδευση. *i-Teacher*, 12, 15-21.
14. Igbokwe, I. C. (2023). Application of artificial intelligence (AI) in educational management. *International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications*, 13(3), 300-307.
15. Karakoulas, D. & Theologou, K. (2023). The Crucial Impact of Technology on Language and Culture. *American Journal of Sciences and Engineering Research*, 6(6), 18-27.
16. Καραγιάννη, Ε. (2024). *Εφαρμογές Τεχνητής Νοημοσύνης για την υποστήριξη της συμπεριληπτικής εκπαίδευσης και της ειδικής αγωγής* (Master’s Thesis). Retrieved from: <https://polynoe.lib.uniwa.gr/xmlui/handle/11400/5901>.
17. Leithwood, K., Seashore Louis, K., Anderson, S., & Wahlstrom, K. (2004). *How leadership influences*

- student learning*. New York: The Wallace Foundation.
18. Liu, Y., Zhao, S., Li, J., & Zhang, B. (2020). Intelligent chatbots in education: A review of recent advances. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 68(1), 87-104.
19. Manyika, J., Lund, S., Chui, M., Bughin, J., Woetzel, J., Batra, P., Ko, R., & Sanghvi, S. (2017). *Jobs Lost, Jobs Gained: Workforce Transitions in a Time of Automation*. New York: McKinsey global Institute.
20. Mason, M. & Rennie, F. (2018). Ethics and privacy in the adoption of learning analytics: A guide for academic leaders. *EDUCAUSE Review*, 53(6), 36-48.
21. O'Neil, K., & Chuang, I. (2019). AI in education: Promises and pitfalls. *Harvard Business Review*, 97(4), 68-74.
22. Peters, M. A., & Besley, T. (2020). AI, ethics and education: The governance of AI and the place of ethics in an age of intelligent machines. *Education Sciences*, 10(11), 313.
23. Prisecaru, P. (2016). Challenges of the Fourth Industrial Revolution. *Knowledge Horizons - Economics*, 8(1), 57-62.
24. Rogers, E. M. (2003). *Diffusion of Innovations* (5th ed.). New York: Simon and Schuster.
25. Russakovsky, O. (2016, August 23). AI's Research Rut. *MIT Technology Review*, 119(5), 11.
26. Smith, J. (2021). Applications of AI in Educational Management. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 18(1), 1-17. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-021-00279-9>.
27. Smith, J. (2022). Applications of artificial intelligence in educational management. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 70(2), 457-478. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-022-09981-1>.
28. Tyson, M. M., & Sauers, N. J. (2021). School leaders' adoption and implementation of artificial intelligence. *Journal of Educational Administration*, 59(3), 271-285.
29. Ullman, S. (2019). Using neuroscience to develop artificial intelligence. *Science*, 363(6428), 692-693.
30. Veletsianos, G. (2019). A case study of machine learning in education: Shifting ontologies. *Educational Researcher*, 48(7), 397-405.
31. Wang, Y., Zhang, X., Li, H., & Wang, Z. (2020). The application of big data and artificial intelligence in educational management. In Z. Chen, S. Deng, & L. Wang (Eds.), *Advances in intelligent systems and computing*, Vol. 1107, pp. 221-227. Springer.
32. Wang, Y. (2021). When artificial intelligence meets educational leaders' data-informed decision-making: A cautionary tale. *Studies in Educational Evaluation*, 69, 100872, 1-41.
33. Wirtz, B. W., Weyerer, J. C., & Geyer, C. (2019). Artificial Intelligence and the Public Sector – Applications and Challenges. *International Journal of Public Administration*, 42(7), 596-615.
34. Xu, M., David, J. M., & Kim, S. (2018). The Fourth Industrial Revolution: Opportunities and Challenges. *International Journal of Financial Research*, 9(2), 90-95. doi:10.5430/ijfr.v9n2p90.
35. Zhang, J., & Li, S. (2021). An overview of intelligent tutoring systems. *Journal of Educational Technology Development and Exchange*, 14(1), 99-110.
36. Zawacki-Richter, O., Marin, V., Bond, M., & Gouverneur, F. (2019). Systematic review of research on artificial intelligence applications in higher education – where are the educators? *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 1, 1.