



Aesthetics as a Key Concept in Curriculum: A Reflective Analysis

Yi-Huang Shih

Center of Teacher Education, Minghsin University of Science and Technology, Hsinchu, Taiwan

ARTICLE INFO	ABSTRACT
Published Online: 19 November 2025	In the post-millennial era, global educational reforms have emphasized fostering innovation and aesthetic competence through the arts. Through the implementation of arts-based curricula, educational systems aim to cultivate learners' creativity, critical thinking, and ability to appreciate and express beauty. This approach not only enhances students' aesthetic awareness but also promotes holistic development by integrating cognitive, emotional, and cultural dimensions of learning (Lin, 2025). Furthermore, the intersection of aesthetics and education provides a conceptual space for examining how perception, sensuous experience, beauty, and art contribute to learning and human emancipation. These dimensions have long been regarded as essential for cultivating democratic societies, as they shape citizens' moral, ethical, and political sensibilities. Yet aesthetics is often perceived as both a dangerous and paradoxical concept for educators: it holds the capacity to foster political transformation, while simultaneously enabling political manipulation through immersive, disruptive, and all-consuming aesthetic experiences. In essence, aesthetic encounters are powerful modes of experience that prompt individuals to think, interpret, and feel beyond the certainty of facts and the routine dimensions of everyday life. Aesthetics heightens human awareness of both self and others. Thus, the study of aesthetics in education reveals a latent potential in learning—one that extends beyond the acquisition of objective information and the logical discernment of reality (Heybach, 2020). Maxine Greene (1995) viewed aesthetic experience as a means of awakening critical consciousness and social imagination, allowing learners to envision possibilities for transformation within themselves and society, and to become more complete beings through ongoing reflection and creation. Therefore, integrating aesthetic principles into curriculum development is of great significance for teachers. When teachers design curricula through an aesthetic lens, they can achieve a balance between emotion and reason in the learning process, creating environments that inspire emotional resonance and creative thinking among students. Such curricula emphasize not only learning outcomes but also the meaningful and affective dimensions of the learning process, encouraging students to cultivate aesthetic perception, ethical concern, and social awareness while exploring knowledge. Through the practice of aesthetic-oriented curriculum design, education can return to its humanistic essence—serving as a process that enlightens the mind and enriches life.
Corresponding Author: Yi-Huang Shih	

KEYWORDS: aesthetics, aesthetic-oriented curriculum design, intercultural understanding

1. INTRODUCTION

Aesthetic considerations are qualitative, personal, and value laden and do not fit well into existing educational frameworks. Yet, I think greater aesthetic awareness is a pragmatic and philosophical necessity missing in much schooling. An aesthetic context calls for a rethinking and revaluing of what is educationally important (Latta, 2000). In the post-millennial era, global educational reforms have emphasized fostering innovation and aesthetic competence through the arts. Through the implementation of arts-based curricula, educational systems aim to cultivate learners' creativity, critical thinking, and ability to appreciate and express beauty. This approach not only enhances students' aesthetic awareness but also promotes holistic development by integrating cognitive, emotional, and cultural dimensions of learning. Aesthetics, traditionally associated with the philosophy of art and beauty, has become an increasingly significant concept in curriculum theory and educational practice. It extends beyond the appreciation of artistic forms to encompass a way of knowing, perceiving, and engaging with the world. When integrated into curriculum design, aesthetics encourages learners to develop sensitivity to form, emotion, and meaning in human experiences, promoting holistic growth that includes cognitive, emotional, and ethical dimensions (Eisner, 2002; Greene, 1995; Lin, 2025; Strati, 2000). From a curricular perspective, the distance between aesthetics and daily life has been closed. The distance between aesthetics and daily life has gradually diminished, indicating that aesthetic experience has increasingly permeated everyday living. An aesthetic orientation emphasizes learning as a lived experience rather than the mere acquisition of knowledge. This approach values imagination, creativity, and emotional engagement as essential elements for understanding the world (Eisner, 1994, 2002; Shih, 2022). Elliot Eisner (1994, 2002) argued that aesthetic education enables students to perceive subtle qualities in their experiences and express ideas through multiple forms of representation, thereby cultivating what he termed “educational connoisseurship.” Similarly, Maxine Greene (1995) viewed aesthetic experience as a means of awakening critical consciousness and social imagination, allowing learners

to envision possibilities for transformation within themselves and society, and to become more complete beings through ongoing reflection and creation. Therefore, integrating aesthetic principles into curriculum development is of great significance for teachers. When teachers design curricula through an aesthetic lens, they can achieve a balance between emotion and reason in the learning process, creating environments that inspire emotional resonance and creative thinking among students. Such curricula emphasize not only learning outcomes but also the meaningful and affective dimensions of the learning process, encouraging students to cultivate aesthetic perception, ethical concern, and social awareness while exploring knowledge. Through the practice of aesthetic-oriented curriculum design, education can return to its humanistic essence—serving as a process that enlightens the mind and enriches life.

2. AN AESTHETIC ORIENTATION IN CURRICULUM

The concept of “aesthetics” was first used by the philosopher Alexander von Baumgarten in the mid-eighteenth century derived from the Greek *aisthetike*, meaning sense perception. For Baumgarten, aesthetics was concerned with the perfection of perception and with the perception of perfection, or beauty (Shih, 2020a). “Aesthetic domain” refers to an experience of discerning good things that is actively constructed by an individual's inner feelings. The aesthetic domain aims to help students perceive beauty in the environment, enrich their imagination and engage in artistic creation. “Aesthetic education” is utilized to delineate a pathway to develop aesthetic sensitivity, creativity, emotional expression, and cultural cognition through art and cultural studies, aligning closely with what is often referred to as “art education” (Tao & Tao, 2024). Implementing an aesthetic orientation in curriculum design requires teachers to view themselves not merely as transmitters of knowledge but as facilitators of meaning-making and creative exploration. According to Eisner (2002), educators should design learning environments that value ambiguity, encourage risk-taking, and support multiple modes of expression, such as visual, verbal, and kinesthetic forms. This approach aligns with Dewey's

(1934) conception of art as experience, wherein aesthetic learning emerges through active participation, reflection, and interaction with the environment. Practically, this might involve integrating art-based inquiry across disciplines—for example, using visual metaphors in science to foster conceptual understanding, or incorporating music and movement in language learning to stimulate emotional engagement and embodied cognition. Such cross-disciplinary practices dissolve the boundaries between art and academic knowledge, nurturing learners’ capacity to perceive relationships and patterns in the world. Moreover, adopting an aesthetic orientation entails cultivating spaces of dialogue and reflection where students’ subjective experiences are acknowledged as sources of insight. Greene (1995) emphasized that through dialogic encounters, learners can share interpretations and co-construct meanings that reflect both personal and collective perspectives. In this sense, aesthetic pedagogy becomes a moral and democratic practice, inviting diverse voices and fostering empathy within the learning community. As Eisner (2002) noted, when education honors aesthetic modes of knowing, it transforms classrooms into places where imagination, sensitivity, and ethical awareness are intertwined—enabling students to develop not only intellectual competence but also a deepened sense of humanity.

In sum, an aesthetic approach to curriculum represents more than an aspect of arts education—it embodies an educational philosophy that values perception, creation, and ethical reflection. It calls on educators to reimagine learning as a process enriched by emotion, meaning, and beauty, affirming the humanistic dimension of education and its transformative potential in contemporary society (Greene, 1995).

3. DISCUSSION: HOW TO IMPLEMENT AN AESTHETIC ORIENTATION IN CURRICULUM DESIGN

Every subject has elements of emotions, intuition and interpretation and might make use of symbolic forms. The aesthetical involves knowledge that is gained through the senses and that appeals to emotions. An aesthetic approach might contribute to interest and meaning, preconditions for learning that transforms the individual. It might open up

unrealized knowledge and unexpected outcomes. Furthermore, the approach might contribute to a good life (Ulvik, 2020). To effectively implement an aesthetic orientation in curriculum design, educators must move beyond standardized instructional models and embrace pedagogical approaches that honor subjectivity, emotion, and imagination as central to learning. This involves designing curricula that encourage exploration, interpretation, and creation rather than rote reproduction of knowledge. According to Eisner (2002), aesthetic learning environments should provide opportunities for students to make choices, interpret meanings, and express understanding through diverse media—such as visual arts, movement, language, and sound—allowing for the cultivation of individuality and artistic judgment. Greene (1995) similarly emphasized the importance of cultivating spaces where learners can encounter the world as possibility rather than fixed reality. Through dialogic engagement, reflective inquiry, and artistic expression, students are invited to participate in the co-construction of meaning, transforming both their inner perspectives and their relationships with others. Moreover, implementing aesthetic approaches in curriculum requires educators to act as facilitators of experience rather than transmitters of content. Teachers play a crucial role in guiding students to attend to the qualitative dimensions of experience—tone, texture, rhythm, and emotional resonance—which often go unnoticed in conventional instruction (Eisner, 1994). By integrating aesthetic principles into daily pedagogical practice—such as using arts-based inquiry, sensory exploration, or narrative reflection—educators can nurture learners’ capacity for empathy, ethical reasoning, and imaginative problem-solving. As Greene (1995) observed, the transformative potential of education lies in its ability to awaken individuals to new ways of seeing and being. Thus, an aesthetic curriculum does not merely add beauty to education; it redefines the purpose of education itself—as a process of human becoming that connects knowledge with feeling, perception with imagination, and the individual with the collective.

4. CONCLUSION

In 1918, American curriculum theorist Franklin Bobbitt (1876–1956) published *The Curriculum*, a seminal work that not only demystified the concept of “curriculum” but also established a systematic framework for its academic investigation. Since then, the field of curriculum studies has undergone significant transformation. Contemporary curriculum inquiry is no longer confined to the positivist logic of empirical research; rather, it has evolved into a multifaceted and interdisciplinary domain, drawing theoretical and methodological insights from sociology, political science, anthropology, and aesthetics. Over the past century, curriculum studies has expanded its epistemological boundaries—shifting from reliance on statistical generalizations to interpretive, qualitative paradigms; from large-scale surveys to ethnographic fieldwork; and from critical analyses of power and knowledge to imaginative, arts-based approaches. These epistemic shifts underscore the dynamic, evolving, and maturing nature of the field. This global evolution has likewise informed and reoriented local curricular research. Scholars have gradually moved beyond structural analyses rooted in sociology and political economy toward more nuanced engagements with curriculum aesthetics, narrative inquiry, and arts-based research. As a result, inquiries into curriculum aesthetics have received increasing scholarly attention. Aesthetics as a key concept in curriculum. The concept of aesthetics is elusive, but holds profound potential in education (Bobbitt, 2009; Crawford, 1987; Yang, 2012). Learning has become a part of social development and has strengthened lifelong learning in the new social era. Discussion and reflection in learning process play an important role in the field of education. Discussion and reflection are the key for learners to transform concrete experience into abstract concepts. Hold discussions and reflections after each session to reflect on the creative process and encourage participants to learn from each other (Shih, 2024a,2024b). In early childhood and general education, an aesthetic approach transforms classrooms into spaces of wonder, inquiry, and expressiveness. Learning becomes a process of meaning-making through sensory experience, storytelling, play, and artistic creation. Curriculum practices informed by aesthetic principles—such as integrating visual

arts, music, movement, and literature—encourage students to explore their inner world while developing empathy toward others’ perspectives (Bennett, 1980; Dewey, 1934; Kokkos, 2011; Wright, 2012; Ye & Shih, 2021). Moreover, aesthetics contributes to cultivating ethical and ecological awareness. By engaging students with beauty in nature, culture, and human relationships, aesthetic education nurtures care, responsibility, and respect for diversity. In multicultural and global contexts, it becomes a bridge that connects cultural expression with identity formation and intercultural understanding. In summary, aesthetics as a key concept in curriculum challenges instrumental and standardized notions of education. It invites educators to view teaching and learning as creative, interpretive, and transformative acts. Through aesthetic engagement, learners develop not only artistic sensibility but also the capacity for imagination, empathy, and reflective judgment—qualities essential for democratic and humane education.

REFERENCES

1. Bennett, R. (1980). Building an aesthetic education curriculum: A model and Its application. *The High School Journal*, 64 (2), 36-49.
2. Bobbitt, F. (2009). *The Curriculum*. Cornell University.
3. Crawford, D. W. (1987). Aesthetics in discipline-based art education. *The Journal of Aesthetic Education*, 21 (2), 227-239.
4. Dewey, J. (1934). *Art as Experience*. Minton, Balch & Company.
5. Eisner, E. W. (1994). *The educational imagination: On the design and evaluation of school programs* (3rd ed.). Macmillan.
6. Eisner, E. W. (2002). *The arts and the creation of mind*. Yale University Press.
7. Greene, M. (1995). *Releasing the imagination: Essays on education, the arts, and social change*. Jossey-Bass.
8. Heybach, Jessica A. (2020). Aesthetics and education. <https://doi.org/10.1093/acrefore/9780190264093.013.413>
9. Kokkos, A. (2011). Transformative learning through aesthetic experience: Towards a comprehensive method:

- Towards a comprehensive method. *Journal of Transformative Education*, 8(3), 155-177.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1541344610397663>
10. Latta, M. M. (2000). In search of aesthetic space: Delaying intentionality in teaching/learning situations. *Interchange* 31, 369–383.
<https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1011003226050>
 11. Lin, M. C. (2025). The development of aesthetic Education in Taiwan: Drama in the preschool curriculum. In: Bautista, A. (eds) *Arts and creativity in east and southeast Asian preschools. Creativity in the Twenty First Century*. Springer, Singapore.
https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-96-2524-6_11
 12. Shih, Y. H. (2020a). Teaching principles for aesthetic education: Cultivating Taiwanese children’s aesthetic literacy. *International Journal of Education and Practice*, 8(3), 568-576.
 13. Shih, Y. H. (2020b). Investigating the aesthetic domain of the “Early Childhood Education and Care Curriculum Framework” for young students in Taiwan. *International Journal of Education and Practice*, 8(1), 37-44.
 14. Shih, Y. H. (2022). Methods for successful aging: An aesthetics-oriented perspective derived from Richard Shusterman’s somaesthetics. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 19, no. 18: 11404.
 15. Shih, Y. H. (2024a). Learning for democracy: Some inspiration from John Dewey's idea of democracy. *Frontiers in Political Science*. 6:1429685.
doi: 10.3389/fpos.2024.1429685
 16. Shih, Y. H. (2024b). Developing arts-oriented intergenerational learning programs between older 3 adults and young children. *Edelweiss Applied Science and Technology*, 8(6), 6917–6925.
 17. Strati, A. (2000). The aesthetic approach in organization studies. In S. Linstead & H. Hopfl (Eds.). *The aesthetics of organization*. (pp. 13-34). London: Sage.
 18. Tao, Y., & Tao, Y. (2024). Integrating Aesthetic Education in Quality Education: A Bibliometric Analysis of Sustainable Development Perspectives. *Sustainability*, 16(2), 855.
<https://doi.org/10.3390/su16020855>
 19. Ulvik, M. (2020). Promoting aesthetical values to education. *Front. Educ.* 5:34.
doi: 10.3389/educ.2020.00034
 20. Wright, S. (2015). *Children, meaning-making and the arts* (2nd ed.). Pearson Australia.
 21. Yang, H. C. (2012). The present and future of research on curriculum aesthetics in Taiwan. *Education Journal*, 40 (1-2), 45-66.
 22. Ye, Y., & Shih, Y. H. (2021). Development of John Dewey’s educational philosophy and its implications for children’s education. *Policy Futures in Education*, 19(8), 877-890. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1478210320987678>